

REPLY

Reply: Cost and returns related to medical education in rural and remote locations

E Smyrnakis¹, M Gavana¹, E Kondilis², S Giannakopoulos¹, A Benos¹

¹*Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece*

²*Global Health, Policy and Innovation Unit Centre for Primary Care and Public Health Barts and
The London School of Medicine and Dentistry Queen Mary, University of London, London, United
Kingdom*

Submitted: 23 April 2013; Accepted: 15 May 2013; Published: 2 June 2013

Smyrnakis E, Gavana M, Kondilis E, Giannakopoulos S, Benos A

Reply: Cost and returns related to medical education in rural and remote locations

***Rural and Remote Health* 13: 2641. (Online) 2013**

Available: <http://www.rrh.org.au>

Dear Editor

Dr Walsh in his letter¹ comments on two important aspects in community-based medical education in rural and remote locations (cost and long-term return on investments) that the Medical School at Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (AUTH) also encountered when implementing the attachment in primary care.

The main educational aim of our primary care attachment is to advocate community primary care oriented to the health needs of the population. In the case of the Greek National Health System, only Rural Health Centres (RHCs) offer community based health care. In urban areas primary care services are delivered from private physicians and social insurance funds' health units (polyclinics)².

The cost of travel and accommodation for medical students is a matter of continuous consideration, especially in a time of economic recession such as the one Greece is currently facing. Collaboration with RHCs in the areas of students' family residence was preferred, as well as RHCs that could provide free accommodation. Even though such an approach might raise more organisational issues, it also drives to the increase of collaborating teaching RHCs, necessary in the case of medical schools where more than 300 students practise each academic year.

Developments in Information Technology (IT), used more and more in education, can be very helpful in remote primary-care attachments³. The AUTH Medical School minimised software costs by selecting freeware applications for creating an asynchronous e-learning environment. Costs



for hardware in remote locations are addressed by using the RHCs' IT infrastructure, so medical students can remain in contact with the medical school and its resources during their attachment. Actually, the e-learning environment is evaluated by our students as one of the strongest points of the attachment.

In Greece, where a traditional apprenticeship model is followed, educating students or residents is not considered a task for which physicians should be remunerated. In order to compensate the tutors, their support remains a complex issue for our department to manage. Not only it is necessary to provide feedback, audit sessions and meetings on restricted financial resources, but it is also essential to meet their educational needs and offer them both skills enhancements and incentives.

Issues of loneliness or isolation are easily resolved for students who choose an attachment close to their family's residence. However, for students attached to remote RHCs the situation may be more complicated, and the local tutor assigned to the student usually takes up the task to help the student, even though it should be the department's responsibility.

In a pre- and post-evaluation of the attachment we found a 12% increase in our students' intention to follow general practice as a future career⁴, which in Greece is mainly associated with practising in rural areas, due to the structure of the health system. Therefore, 4 years after implementation, our assumption that the primary-care attachment would tempt students to return in rural areas as fully qualified professionals has been reinforced. A long-term

follow up will demonstrate whether these results have been sustained.

**Smyrnakis E¹, Gavana M¹, Kondilis E²,
Giannakopoulos S¹, Benos A¹**

**¹Medical School, Aristotle University of
Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece**

**²Policy and Innovation Unit, Centre for Primary
Care and Public Health, Barts &
London School of Medicine and Dentistry,
University of London, London, United Kingdom**

References

1. Walsh K. Comment: Cost and returns related to medical education in rural and remote locations. *Rural and Remote Health* **13**: 2584. (Online) 2013. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 20 May 2013).
2. Kondilis E, Smyrnakis E, Gavana M, Giannakopoulos S, Zdoukos T, Illife S et al. Economic crisis and primary care reform in Greece: driving the wrong way? *British Journal of General Practice* 2012; **62**: 264-265.
3. Lillis S, Gibbons V, Lawrenson R. The experience of final year medical students undertaking a general practice run with a distance education component. *Rural and Remote Health* **10(1)**: 1268. (Online) 2010. Available: www.rrh.org.au (Accessed 20 May 2013).
4. Smyrnakis E, Panos A, Stardelli Th, Chainoglou A, Gavana M, Kondylis E et al. Introducing Primary Health Care clerkship in a hospital centred curriculum. *Abstracts, SAPC Annual Conference*; 2011; University of Bristol, UK; 2001.